











1	^ 1ST COURT LINE
2	^ 2ND COURT LINE
3	
4	^ PLAINTIFF,
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs. NO. ^ CASENUMBER
7	^ Defendant,
8	Defendants.
9	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
10	
11	
12	•
13	
14	DEPOSITION OF
15	DAVID RIZZUTO
16	
17	
18	January 22, 2009
19	9:03 a.m.
20	
21	13325 Civic Center Drive
22	Poway, California
23	
24	Diane M. Stockton, CSR No. 11085
25	

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	
3	For the Plaintiff:
4	McDOUGAL LOVE ECKIS SMITH BOEHMER & FOLEY LISA A. FOSTER, ES
5	460 North Magnolia, Drawer 1466 El Cajon, California 92022-1
6	619.440.4444 lfoster@mclex.com
7	
8	For the Defendant:
9	THE SIMPSON LAW FIRM DOUGLAS J. SIMPSON, ESQ.
10	1224 10th Street, Suite 201 Coronado, California 92118
11	619.437.6900 dsimpson@simpsonlawfirm.com
12	
13	Also present:
14	Bill Moritz
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	WITNESS: DAVID	RIZZUTO	
3 .	EXAMINATION		PAGE
4	By Mr. Simpson		3
5	By Ms. Foster		40
6			
7			
8			
9			
10		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
11	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
12	52		
13	55		
14	56		
15	75		
16	90		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
0 E			

1	DEPOSITION OF DAVID RIZZUTO
2	January 22, 2009
3	
4	DAVID RIZZUTO,
5	having been first duly sworn, testifies as follows:
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. SIMPSON:
8	Q. Sir, will you tell us your name, please, and
9	spell your last name for the record.
10	A. Certainly. My name is David Alan Rizzuto,
11	R-i-z-z-u-t-o.
12	Q. Sir, as you know, you've been sworn in and that
13	means that the penalty of perjury applies to the testimony
14	you're giving today. Do you understand that?
15	A. Yes, I do.
16	Q. The testimony you're giving has the same force
17	and effect as if you're testify before a judge and injury.
18	Do you understand?
19	A. I do.
20	Q. For that reason we want to have the best
21	testimony that you can give us today. Can you do that for
22	us?
23	A. Yes, I can.
24	Q. Any reason we can't have your best testimony?
25	λ No.

- 1 Q. Fair enough. When we're done here we'll have a
- 2 transcript prepared that contains everything that's been
- 3 said on the record, and you'll have an opportunity to
- 4 review the transcript. It will read like a play with a
- 5 question and answer, and you can make changes to your
- 6 answers, but we have to caution you that if you make
- 7 changes to the answers in a substantive way, "yes" to a
- 8 "no," "no" to a "yes," we could comment on that and that
- 9 could affect your credibility or the city of Poway's, as
- 10 well. Do you understand that?
- 11 A. Certainly.
- 12 Q. As you've been doing, we need to have oral
- 13 responses obviously those that we can hear other than head
- 14 nods and gestures, that kind of thing. Okay?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Likewise, "huh-uhs" and "uh-huhs" don't
- 17 transcribe well, so that we don't cause Diane trouble we
- need to make sure we say somebody intelligible. Okay?
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. As we've been doing, we need to wait for one
- 21 another to finish what the other is saying so that we
- 22 don't talk over each other. All right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. If you need a break at any point, let me know,
- and we'll do that.

- 1 A. That's fine.
- Q. From time to time we may have objections from
- 3 counsel, but I'm entitled to the answers if you understand
- 4 the question. Okay.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that's unless you are instructed not to
- 7 answer. All right?
- 8 A. Of course.
- 9 Q. Sir, are you currently employed?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- 11 Q. Where are you currently employed?
- 12 A. The city of Poway.
- 13 Q. How long have you been there?
- A. I've been with the city of Poway since June 5th
- 15 of 2001.
- 16 Q. What is your position currently?
- 17 A. Currently my position is engineering inspector.
- 18 Q. How long have you been an engineering inspector?
- 19 A. Since February of 2003.
- Q. Have your job duties remained the same since
- 21 February 2003?
- 22 A. Yes, they have.
- 23 Q. Currently do you supervise anybody?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Does anybody supervise you?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And who is that?
- 3 A. My supervisor is Danis Bechter.
- Q. And who is Danis Bechter's supervisor?
- 5 A. That would be Frank Casteleneto.
- 6 Q. And Frank Casteleneto's supervisor is who?
- 7 A. Would be the director of our department, Bob
- 8 Manis.
- 9 Q. Sir, currently what are your job
- 10 responsibilities?
- 11 A. My job responsibilities day-to-day involve the
- 12 supervision of the construction activity associated with
- private and public improvements, everything from site
- 14 grading to infrastructure associated with civil
- 15 engineering, water, sewer, storm drain, street lights,
- 16 paving, traffic signal modifications.
- 17 Q. Is part of your job the enforcement of ordinances
- of the city of Poway?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Does that include grading ordinances?
- 21 A. Yes, it includes an understanding of the grading
- 22 ordinances and enforcing them.
- Q. How many persons have the position that you hold
- 24 within the city of Poway?
- 25 A. Currently there are two others besides myself.

- 1 Q. And who are the other two?
- 2 A. Chuck Littlejohn and John Tolliver.
- 3 Q. How do you guys divide up responsibility, if you
- 4 'do?
- 5 A. Typically, it's based on workload, and probably
- 6 more specifically we get into areas. So I am -- you know,
- 7 to explain that, I'm signed to the what's considered the
- 8 north end of town.
- 9 Q. The area to which you're assigned, is that
- something that includes the Moritz product at 14272 Jerome
- 11 Drive?
- 12 A. I do have an existing project on Jerome Drive. I
- wouldn't say that I am assigned to Dr. Moritz' project.
- Q. Does your geographic area include that particular
- 15 piece of property?
- 16 A. Typically it would, yes. I am responsible for
- 17 areas north of Twin Peaks Road.
- 18 Q. Typically, what triggers your involvement as to
- 19 any typical site? Is it the existence of a permit or is
- it wandering around and looking for code violations?
- 21 A. No. My job does involve permitted projects.
- 22 Again, whether that's a private development or a -- as we
- 23 call them, capital improvement project of a publicly-
- funded construction project. My involvement usually
- 25 begins with the assignment of a permit to me and then

- 1 conducting preconstruction meetings prior to any grading
- 2 activity commencing.
- 3 And to answer the other part of your question, I
- 4 believe, is that my involvement in the enforcement of the
- 5 grading ordinance as it applies to potentially permits
- 6 without -- sites without permits is based on calls from
- 7 citizens or calls received by supervisors who direct me to
- 8 explore whether or not there's illegal grading activity at
- 9 a site.
- 10 Q. How about times when you have a site without a
- 11 permit as to which you've had no calls from citizens, are
- there circumstances in which you exercise enforcement
- authority of the city of Poway in that kind of a
- 14 situation?
- A. I'm trying to think of an example, and I would
- 16 say no. No.
- 17 Q. What was your job between the time that you were
- 18 hired and February 2003?
- 19 A. I was -- my specific title was engineering
- 20 technician.
- Q. Where did you work before June 5, 2001?
- 22 A. Before that I worked for two firms, South Coast
- 23 Civil Engineering and Slater Land Survey. They shared an
- office space, so when I say I worked for both.
- Q. What did you do before those jobs?

- A. Before that I resided in Buffalo, New York, and I
- 2 was a cook.
- 3 Q. Do you have any college degrees?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Any college education?
- 6 A. I attended the University of Buffalo at New York
- 7 from the fall of 1988 through the spring of 1991.
- Q. Any major course of study while you were there?
- 9 A. It was performance arts.
- 10 Q. Sir, when did you first go to the property that's
- involved in this case, 14272 Jerome Drive, Poway?
- 12 A. If I -- I couldn't put a specific date on it, but
- my recollection is it was probably in January of 2008.
- 14 Q. Why did you go out there?
- 15 A. There was a gentleman who came in, I don't know
- 16 his name. He claimed to be a resident of Jerome Drive.
- 17 He expressed concern that there were trucks running up and
- down the street that contained dirt, and he gave me a
- 19 general location of where the dirt was being brought to at
- 20 the end of Jerome Drive and wanted me to determine if --
- 21 well, if I can speak for somebody who I don't really know,
- 22 his concern was the volume of trucks going up and down a
- 23 privately maintained road and whether or not that had an
- 24 impact on the maintenance of the road, the citizens who
- 25 contribute to the maintenance of that road.

My involvement from that point, though, was to 1 2 determine whether or not this was a potential grading 3 violation based on the concerns he had raised. 4 0. Who was that person? Sean Marsden? 5 Α. I can say no, it was not Sean Marsden, unless 6 he's a 70-year-old-plus gentleman, but I don't think he 7 is. I really don't know the name of the man who came in. 8 0. What did you do next? 9 Α. I went out to the site within the -- it was 10 within the hour, and when I arrived to the site, it was 11 Dr. Moritz' site where the trucks were -- in this case a 12 truck was dropping off dirt. I observed that Dr. Moritz 13 was using a small backhoe skiploader to spread the dirt 14 around on his site. 15 I spoke with Dr. Moritz at that time. 16 what his intentions were. We discussed the provisions of 17 the grading ordinance for what is considered routine 18 landscaping work, work that would not require a permit. 19 Because I only observed one truckload of dirt and no 20 subsequent trucks running, I couldn't tell you how much 21 dirt had been brought into the site at that point, whether 22 it exceeded or did not exceed the limitations of the 23 landscape ordinance, which is what we specifically had 24 talked about. The area that was being graded -- I say

1/23/2009 2:59 PM

graded -- landscaped, was to the northwest of the horse

25

- 1 corrals. I did observe that some vegetation maybe had
- 2 been removed from the site. It looked like it had been
- 3 stripped bare, but then again, that could have been a
- 4 result of the fires, I honestly don't know, and that,
- 5 again, an undetermined amount of dirt had already been
- 6 spread out in this area.
- 7 My -- my assessment at that time was that it was
- 8 not a grading violation, but in speaking with Dr. Moritz I
- 9 cautioned him against bringing in too much dirt, of
- 10 creating vertical fills, you know, exceeding the height
- 11 limitations within the landscape ordinance that is part of
- 12 the grading ordinance, and I left the site.
- Q. What is your understanding of the limitations of
- 14 the grading ordinances?
- A. Specifically in the grading ordinance, I couldn't
- 16 give you the actual section. It's J is the alpha
- 17 assignment to it, Section J of whatever part of the
- 18 grading ordinance, but specifically that says you shall
- 19 not import more than 250 cubic yards -- when I say
- 20 "import," let me correct myself -- import or utilize
- 21 onsite materials exceeding 250 yards. You shall not
- 22 create vertical fills greater than five feet in height at
- 23 a 3:1 slope, and you shall not create any vertical cuts or
- 24 fills greater than a foot in depth. And then probably
- 25 most significantly is that the areas being landscaped, the

- intent is that there will never be a structure, permitted
- 2 structure, built on them or something that would require a
- 3 building permit, habitable space.
- 4 Q. Because of compaction issues?
- 5 A. Certainly.
- Q. Any other distinctions between what's permissible
- 7 landscaping and what's grading?
- 8 A. Possibly the clearing of vegetation could come
- 9 into that, but, again, I didn't have the benefit of
- 10 knowing what that site looked like before the day I went
- out there. So, again, my observation was that there was
- 12 fresh disturbance of soil, but what had been there before,
- 13 I couldn't tell you. So when I say that stripping or
- 14 clearing of vegetation can become an issue, that gets into
- whether or not there's habitat out there that shouldn't be
- 16 removed or trees that are protected by our ordinances.
- 17 Q. Did Bill Moritz tell you that he had already been
- 18 to the city of Poway asking whether a permit was required
- 19 for the work that he envisioned?
- 20 A. I believe --
- 21 MS. FOSTER: Objection. I'm sorry. That calls
- 22 for hearsay.
- 23 You can answer.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. That I believe was
- 25 discussed, that he said he had been in contact with the

- 1 city at that point.
- 2 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 3 Q. He told you that he'd actually been down to the
- 4 city?
- 5 A. I couldn't tell you his exact phrasing of it, but
- 6 that he expressed an understanding of the limitations of
- 7 the ordinance at it applied to the work he was doing.
- Q. Did you issue any stop work notice or citation?
- 9 A. I did not.
- 10 Q. Why is that?
- 11 A. Again, because my opinion of the work that was
- 12 ongoing at that time was that it did not exceed the
- 13 criteria of the provisions for landscaping.
- 14 Q. You indicated in earlier testimony that the area
- 15 at which you observed the grading was to the northwest of
- 16 the corral. Do you recall that?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. I'm going to show you what's been previously
- 19 marked as Exhibit 75.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Can you describe in reference to any of the
- 22 structures that you see on Exhibit 75 where it was that
- you observed the activities that you've described?
- 24 A. Would you like me to mark it or just point or --
- Q. Well, you can just point or describe in words

- 1 where it was.
- 2 A. I would say he was working in this area right
- 3 here.
- 4 Q. Okay. So --
- 5 A. Again, west of the corral and a little bit to the
- 6 north of it.
- 7 Q. So between the Moritz horse corral and the Moritz
- 8 swimming pool?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. A little bit to the north of the swimming pool?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And a little bit to the south of the swimming
- 13 pool?
- 14 A. Yes. And this -- this area in question here was
- possibly cleared, but he was not working over there.
- Q. And you're referring to the area to the north of
- 17 the plastic creek?
- 18 A. I am, and the reason I am specifying this area is
- 19 because of the location of this tree. I do remember this
- 20 tree kind of standing out as being alone and kind of
- 21 isolated from any other trees around it and that this area
- 22 was cleared of any vegetation.
- Q. Do you know which is the Moritz property?
- A. I honestly don't. I don't know the limits of his

25 property.

- 1 Q. The tree that you just described is on the square
- 2 patch that's to the north of the plastic creek; is that
- 3 right?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. Did you observe the landscaping contour grading
- 6 activity that you've described going on on that property
- 7 at that time?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 O. How about stockpiling of soils?
- 10 A. No. Again, the only stockpiled material was
- 11 maybe the eight to 10 cubic yards that had been dropped
- 12 off by the truck that I witnessed onsite at that time.
- I would say that when I met with Dr. Moritz, at
- 14 that point he was on his piece of equipment somewhere to
- 15 the east of -- if this is a pool -- I'm guessing that's a
- 16 pool -- to the east of the pool.
- 17 Q. Where was the stockpile of dumped soil that you
- 18 saw?
- 19 A. By the entrance. There's an opening in the fence
- down here, and it would have been dropped off somewhere
- 21 between where he was on the piece of equipment and over in
- 22 here.
- 23 Q. So the fence opening is on the -- whatever -- the
- 24 eastern end of Jerome on the northern side?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 MS. FOSTER: Maybe if you turn it that way, it
- 2 makes more sense.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 4 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 5 Q. Where -- how did you get to the site?
- 6 A. City vehicle.
- 7 Q. Down Jerome or down Crocker?
- 8 A. Down Jerome.
- 9 Q. Did you alert the Moritzes that you were coming
- 10 out to the site?
- 11 A. Prior to arriving, no.
- 12 Q. Did you get permission from the gentleman that
- 13 complained to come onto Jerome Drive?
- 14 A. No, I did not.
- 15 Q. Did you get permission from anybody that owns the
- 16 properties alongside of Jerome Drive to go down Jerome
- 17 Drive?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- 19 Q. Are you aware of whether that's a private drive?
- 20 A. I believe it's a private road, yes.
- Q. Have you ever had any training from the city of
- 22 Poway about the circumstances that require the city to
- obtain an inspection warrant before entering onto
- somebody's property?
- 25 A. No, I have not.

- 1 Q. Do you know whether that training has ever been
- 2 afforded to anybody within the city of Poway?
- 3 A. I do not.
- Q. It's not been afforded to anybody since you've
- 5 been here, to your knowledge?
- 6 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 7 Q. Are the people that you've described earlier, the
- 8 other two enforcement officers, they people that have been
- 9 here since the time you've been here, roughly 2001?
- 10 A. You mean prior to that or -- well, I could give
- 11 you -- Chuck Littlejohn probably joined staff sometime in
- 12 2004, and John Tolliver has been with us for a month now.
- 13 Q. Was there -- is that a new position or was there
- 14 somebody that preceded Mr. Tolliver?
- 15 A. There was a contract inspector that the city
- 16 hired.
- 17 Q. And who was that?
- 18 A. Tom Ramirez.
- 19 Q. How close were you to the stockpile of soils that
- you saw that day on the Moritz property?
- 21 A. It's quite possible I was right next to it in my
- 22 city vehicle. I believe I actually drove my vehicle
- 23 through the opening on the -- the fence onto the property
- 24 to speak with Mr. Moritz, Dr. Moritz.
- 25 O. Did you notice any smell or any -- have any

- 1 concern about the -- whether the soil was clean fill?
- 2 A. No, not that I recall.
- 3 O. Looked like dirt?
- 4 A. Looked like dirt.
- 5 O. Did you see other pieces of earth-moving
- 6 equipment anywhere in sight other than the one piece that
- 7 you described?
- 8 A. I don't recall, no.
- 9 Q. Did you see anybody else moving soils anywhere on
- 10 the site other than Bill Moritz?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you tell him that it was okay to proceed as
- long as he stayed within the confines of the grading
- 14 ordinance as you described it?
- 15 A. As it applies to landscaping, yes.
- 16 Q. And when you say "as it applies to landscaping,"
- 17 what do you mean by that?
- 18 A. To not exceed the provisions that I described
- 19 earlier, you know, not to import more than 250 cubic
- 20 yards, to create vertical fills and cuts greater than five
- 21 feet in height, 3:1. Information that he relayed to me in
- 22 saying that he'd discussed this with city staff prior to
- 23 this work beginning, that he understood. I believe I may
- 24 have advised him that he might want to obtain a haul route
- 25 permit just in the interest of neighbors expressing

- 1 concern over the activity, that it would benefit him to
- 2 have an import permit.
- Q. At some point after you left the site, did you
- 4 have a conversation with Don Sharp about this site?
- 5 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you have a conversation with anybody within
- 7 the city of Poway about this site?
- 8 MS. FOSTER: I'm going to object that it calls
- 9 for hearsay.
- 10 You can answer.
- 11 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 12 Q. Other than counsel.
- MS. FOSTER: Sorry.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I would say that if were not Don it
- 15 would have been Danis Bechter that I notified that I'd
- 16 been -- you know, that a citizen had come in, described
- 17 what was going on, that I went to the site and my
- 18 determination was that the activity was not exceeding any
- 19 limitations that would require the individual to get a
- 20 permit.
- 21 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- Q. And what did Danis Bechter say?
- 23 A. Again, whoever I may have discussed this with at
- 24 the time, whether it was Don or Danis, I don't know. I
- 25 was probably just asked to just keep an eye on the

- 1 activity.
- Q. Are you aware there's been an issue raised about
- 3 an ephemeral stream on the Moritz' property?
- A. I am aware of that issue, yes.
- 5 Q. Is that something that you observed on the day
- 6 that you were out there?
- 7 A. No. The time that I was out there that we're
- 8 discussing, there was no activity in the stream area as
- 9 it's been described to me, you know. Again, if I can use
- 10 the --
- 11 Q. Exhibit 75?
- 12 A. -- Exhibit 75, that, to me, my understanding, is
- 13 an area down in here.
- Q. And you're referring to the southeasterly corner.
- of the Moritz property?
- 16 A. That is correct. That is correct. And at that
- time there was no work taking place over there.
- 18 Q. What did the property look like over there?
- 19 A. Wet, exposed mud and dirt. That's probably a
- 20 result of being in the middle of the rainy season and, I
- 21 was guessing from soil colors, existing native materials,
- 22 you know, materials native to the site.
- Q. Did you observe scours in that location?
- 24 A. I don't recall.
- 25 Q. How about vegetation in that location?

- 1 A. Minimal.
- 2 Q. How about siltation or sediment from other
- 3 up-gradient sources?
- A. I would say no. And I say that because when I
- 5 arrived and assessed the site, there was nothing striking
- 6 about this area at that time. You know, my attention
- 7 wasn't called to it, oh my God, look at all the dirt
- 8 that's been placed over here or look at all the silt
- 9 accumulating and runoff.
- 10 Q. How about to the north of where you previously
- observed the stockpile, did you look up to the north to
- see whether there was any ephemeral stream or water
- running onto the property from the north?
- 14 A. I did not.
- 15 Q. You didn't look or you didn't notice any water?
- 16 A. Neither.
- 17 Q. How many times have you been out to the Moritz
- 18 property?
- 19 A. Two other occasions.
- 20 O. When were the other times?
- 21 A. The other time would have been with Don Sharp in
- the beginning of February when there was a stop work
- 23 notice issued. I believe on that occasion a call came to
- 24 Don about the site or -- I'm not sure. I can't speculate
- 25 how he got the information that work had resumed out here,

- 1 that there was activity that someone was concerned about.
- 2 And on that occasion I just happened to drive Don to the
- 3 site in my city vehicle.
- 4 Q. Do you know where the call came from?
- 5 A. I don't.
- 6 Q. Do you guys keep records of that, like dispatch
- 7 reports or other kinds of records?
- 8 A. Probably not, no.
- 9 Q. Do you know whether that person is a property
- 10 owner of property on either side of Jerome Drive or on
- 11 Crocker Drive?
- 12 A. I do not.
- Q. Did you guys have an inspection warrant to come
- 14 out that second time?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Did you have the permission of Bill or Lori
- Moritz to come out to their property that time?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. What did you guys do when you got to the site?
- 20 A. On this particular occasion, I recall that we did
- 21 not actually enter the property. We parked at, you know,
- in the cul-de-sac at the end of Jerome.
- O. North side or south side?
- 24 A. Closer to the north side.
- Q. Do you know whether that is Bill Moritz'

- 1 property?
- 2 A. I do not.
- 3 Q. Then what did you do?
- A. On this occasion, again, I happened to be just
- 5 driving Don. Don spoke with Dr. Moritz. My recollection
- of the conversation they had -- which I don't even recall
- 7 if it was outside of the truck or, you know, through the
- 8 window of the truck -- I remember for myself that I don't
- 9 think I even exited the truck -- was that there were
- 10 concerns that the -- again, I think there may have been
- 11 calls that dirt was being moved into the site again and
- 12 that Don expressed concern to Dr. Moritz that at this
- point he may have exceeded the limitations of the amount
- of dirt he could bring into the site for landscaping
- 15 purposes and -- and he was advised to contact engineering
- staff at the city to further discuss what his intentions
- were with the work he was doing, and he was issued a stop
- 18 work notice.
- 19 Q. Did Don tell you that that was the first time
- 20 that he had come to the property?
- 21 A. He did not tell me that.
- 22 Q. Do you know one way or the other whether that was
- 23 his first time at the site?
- 24 A. I do not know if that was his first time. I'm
- 25 speculating that it was.

- 1 Q. I'm going to show you what's been previously
- 2 marked as Exhibit 55. Do you see that in front of you?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is that the stop work notice that was issued on
- 5 the date that you've just described where you went out
- 6 with Don Sharp to the site?
- 7 A. Based on the date here, I'm quessing yes, this is
- 8 the one we're talking about.
- 9 Q. That's your best estimate?
- 10 A. Yes, based on the time frame.
- 11 Q. Whose handwriting is on that document?
- 12 A. That is Don Sharp's.
- 13 Q. I'm going to show you a bad photocopy, which is
- 14 Exhibit 56, which is the next day. Is there any way for
- 15 you to determine whether you were there on one day or the
- other by any of the notes that are on there?
- 17 A. Yes, actually, I believe I could, and I say this
- 18 because my recollection is that Don requested that
- 19 Dr. Moritz speak with engineering staff, and on this one
- 20 Sam Tadros is, you know, described as contacting Sam
- 21 Tadros with questions and concerns as opposed to this one
- 22 which mentions Jim Lyon. And, like I say, my recollection
- is that this might be a grading violation, contact
- 24 engineering staff, not planning staff, which is who Jim
- 25 Lyon works with.

- 1 Q. So if I can put that in context with reference to
- 2 the documents, you believe that you were there on the 7th
- 3 of February with Don Sharp based on the context of what's
- 4 written on Exhibit 55 mentioning the need to contact Sam
- 5 Tadros?
- A. With some certainty, yes.
- 7 Q. Whereas you don't believe it was -- based on the
- 8 context of Exhibit 56, you weren't there the following day
- 9 on the 8th of February when it was mentioned that Mr.
- Moritz ought to contact Jim Lyon; right?
- 11 A. No. Yeah, I was not here on this occasion.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you recall -- strike that.
- Did the -- did Don Sharp actually hand Bill
- 14 Moritz a stop work notice when you were out there, or are
- 15 you just guessing that were you out there based on the
- 16 context of Exhibit 55?
- 17 A. No. I recall -- again, I recall one specific
- 18 occasion of driving out there with Don that very closely
- 19 preceded my initial visit and conversation with
- 20 Dr. Moritz. To answer what I think your question, I do
- 21 not recall if this was actually given to Dr. Moritz in my
- 22 presence, though.
- Q. So you might have been there at a different time?
- 24 A. No. I believe I was there when he was told to
- 25 stop, but I couldn't tell you specifically if this was

- 1 handed toy Dr. Moritz on that occasion.
- 2 Q. Do you have a practice of -- as an inspection
- 3 officer of writing down what other city of Poway people
- 4 are present during such inspections?
- 5 A. On a document like this -- well, no -- yes, I do
- 6 personally, I do personally, yes.
- 7 Q. You mentioned the word "preceded." Were you out
- 8 at the site by yourself before the issuance of stop work
- 9 notice Exhibit 55?
- 10 A. Only the time as described, sometime at the end
- 11 of January.
- 12 Q. When you were out there the second time you were
- out there, did you observe any trucks?
- 14 A. On that occasion, no, I do not recall any trucks.
- 15 Q. How about --
- 16 A. I do -- from reading this, I do remember there
- 17 was evidence of trucks running, you know, with dirt on the
- 18 road.
- 19 Q. That was one of the next questions.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Did you have evidence of trucks on the property
- 22 such as tire ruts or stockpiles of soil?
- 23 A. I don't recall.
- Q. How about as to the property to the north of the
- 25 Moritz' property as shown on Exhibit 75 where the plastic

- 1 creek ends, do you see any evidence of any trucks having
- 2 dumped loads of soil on that piece of property?
- 3 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you have any estimate of the number of trucks
- 5 that had dumped loads of soil on the Moritz property?
- A. With this visit or with my previous visit?
- 7 Q. Well, let's take them both then. On your first
- 8 visit, did you have any evidence that there was any more
- 9 than the one truck that had dumped soil?
- 10 A. There was -- yes. There was freshly tracked soil
- 11 that was a different color than what would typically be
- 12 your native materials out there. As to an amount, no, I
- 13 couldn't tell you, because all there was was one standing
- 14 pile from the truck that had arrived just as I got there.
- 15 Q. Based on your experience, were you able to tell
- or to make any estimate as to the number of loads that it
- would have taken or that it actually did take to spread
- 18 the soils that you described?
- 19 A. My recollection from that visit is I felt
- 20 comfortable that Dr. Moritz had not exceeded the 250 cubic
- 21 yards at that point.
- 22 Q. As far as the second time is concerned, do you
- 23 have an estimate as to how many loads of soil had been
- 24 deposited on the property as of that time?
- 25 A. I really don't recall, no.

- 1 Q. How about any estimate as to the cubic yardage of
- 2 soil that had been deposited on the soil as of that time?
- 3 A. Personally, no, I couldn't make that assessment.
- 4 Q. Did you have any report from anybody about the
- 5 number of loads of soil that had gone down Jerome Drive as
- 6 of that point?
- 7 A. Returning to the initial complaint that was
- 8 received, again, by this gentleman whose name I don't
- 9 know, only to be described as an elderly gentleman, he
- 10 described it as trucks continually running up and down
- 11 Jerome Drive with dirt. That is -- what that means, I
- 12 don't know, I couldn't speculate.
- 13 Q. The second time that you were out at the site,
- 14 did you make any determination as to whether the vertical
- 15 elevation had changed by more than the amount of the
- 16 grading ordinance that permits some activity to occur on a
- 17 site without a permit?
- 18 A. My observation was -- again, this is from
- 19 recollection. My observation was that more dirt than what
- 20 was observed on my initial visit had been placed as you
- 21 come into the opening on the fence. It was spread out
- 22 probably the width of the piece of equipment that was
- 23 being used, which was the same as the first time, a small
- 24 backhoe or skiploader, and that, yes, it was probably
- 25 greater than a foot in height or change from the original

- 1 elevations out there.
- 2 O. Less than two feet?
- 3 A. Possibly.
- 4 Q. Do you know one way or another?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. How about in the ephemeral stream area, did you
- 7 look in that area the second visit?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 Q. Did you make any determination whether any soil
- 10 had been moved into the stream area?
- 11 A. I did not.
- 12 Q. What is a stream area?
- 13 A. Well, there's different definitions of "stream
- 14 area." Obviously, you have streams that run yearlong with
- 15 a constant flow of water. You have ephemeral which are
- 16 based -- which are wet when it rains, and you have water
- that accumulates in them and runs to the natural path of
- 18 drainage.
- With respect to Dr. Moritz' property, I don't
- 20 have any knowledge or opinion to offer whether or not this
- 21 is a property that contains an ephemeral stream or not.
- Q. Who within the city of Poway makes those
- 23 determinations?
- A. I would say that is done probably at a level of a
- 25 senior planner or city planner.

- 1 Q. Such as Jim Lyon?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. How about Danis Bechter?
- A. Might have an opinion on that, yes, based on
- 5 visual observation. But I think, to clarify, there is --
- 6 there are maps that show locations of stream areas,
- 7 watersheds, that can't always be concluded from by a
- 8 visual observation. You know, something that's dry
- 9 11 months and 15 days out of the year looks very different
- 10 when it's raining.
- 11 Q. When a person wants to get a grading ordinance --
- 12 strike that.
- 13 When a person wants to get a grading permit
- 14 within the city of Poway, how do they go about that
- 15 typically?
- 16 A. The initial phase of that would be called a minor
- development review in which an applicant would --
- 18 actually, let me strike that because minor development
- 19 review implies that you're going to have a structure
- 20 built.
- 21 For somebody who wanted to grade an area, whether
- 22 there's a structure there or not, so let's just remove the
- 23 structure, so I don't get into building construction at
- 24 all. So if a person just wanted to grade an area that
- 25 they knew was going to be in excess of the 250 cubic yards

- 1 and they're going to create vertical fills 20 feet in
- 2 height and it's a pad that might be developed in the
- 3 future or not, any situation like that, they want an extra
- 4 driveway turnaround on their property, things like that,
- 5 they would come in, contact engineering staff with
- 6 specific questions, I quess, determine if it's feasible,
- 7 and if they haven't already hired a civil engineer to
- 8 prepare the plans for them, that would probably be the
- 9 next step, is getting a civil engineer to prepare grading
- 10 plans along with any hydrology studies that will indicate
- 11 how the site will be contained from runoff or not
- 12 impacting adjacent properties. That permit would be
- 13 submitted for review and approval, and depending on the
- 14 quality of the engineer citizens retained, it can
- sometimes be a one- or two-plan check turnaround and
- 16 others not so great, and so.
- 17 Q. Does the city of Poway ever have circumstances in
- which people come into the city asking whether they need
- 19 to have a permit where the city says no, you don't?
- 20 A. I'm sure they do.
- 21 Q. Are there any -- is there a practice within the
- 22 city of Poway to give such persons some type of note or
- 23 writing that says indeed you do not need a permit for that
- 24 kind of activity?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. So it's just oral?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you know whether -- do you know who worked the
- 4 desk where such kinds of things occurred as of February
- 5 2008 time frame and January 2008 time frame for people
- 6 looking for grading permits?
- 7 A. Working the counter downstairs, you probably
- 8 would have had Sam Tadros, of course, who at the time was
- 9 the interim senior engineer. You would have had Sam
- 10 Arabzadeh.
- 11 Can't help you on the spelling with that. I'm
- 12 sorry.
- 13 Q. Is he a Persian-looking male?
- 14 A. Yes, I believe he is Persian.
- 15 Q. Thin --
- A. And possibly Mark Westover was still employed by
- 17 the city at this time. I can't recall.
- 18 Q. The Persian man whose name I can't pronounce
- 19 again --
- 20 A. Arabzadeh.
- 21 Q. Arabzadeh, is he a thin man?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Was there a time after the Witch Creek fires that
- 24 the city of Poway relaxed or suspended its grading and
- 25 erosion control ordinances?

- A. I -- I can't answer to whether or not they
- 2 relaxed their grading ordinance and requirements. I would
- 3 say from my end, what I experience on a day-to-day basis
- since the Witch Creek fires, that storm water issues, if
 - 5 anything, have been enforced with even more concern for
 - 6 runoff and siltation and discharge to the storm drain
- 7 system or adjacent properties.
- 8 Q. Since what date?
- 9 A. Since the Witch Creek fire.
- 10 Q. So there's been more rigorous enforcement rather
- 11 than less; right?
- 12 A. I would say the effort has been more
- 13 concentrated, yes.
- 14 Q. Was there ever a time when there was actually
- 15 less rigorous effort to enforce those ordinances because
- 16 of the Witch Creek fires?
- A. Not to my experience, no.
- 18 Q. Did you ever hear about any governmental or
- 19 qubernatorial order to relax such storm water orders?
- A. No. I'm just hoping I don't get an IOU from my
- 21 tax return this year.
- 22 Q. You and me both.
- 23 Did you observe any burned-out vegetation when
- 24 you were out at the Moritz property?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Did you look around to observe any fire damage?
- 2 A. Honestly, no.
- 3 Q. Did you talk with Bill Moritz about his belief
- 4 that he needed a fire road or fire access because of the
- 5 Witch Creek fires?
- 6 A. That very well may have been part of our
- 7 conversation on my initial visit, yes.
- 8 O. What did he say about that?
- 9 MS. FOSTER: Calls for hearsay.
- 10 You can answer.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I think -- he had mentioned that he
- 12 and a neighbor had stayed behind during the Witch Creek
- 13 Fire to protect their properties, that as a result of that
- 14 he felt it was necessary to provide a buffer between his
- property for any potential future fire, to eliminate some
- of the vegetation that was there that was, you know,
- 17 flammable.
- 18 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 19 Q. The second time you were out at the property, did
- 20 you ever see Don Sharp touch or push Bill Moritz?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- 22 O. How would you describe the demeanor of Bill
- 23 Moritz on that second time you were out there?
- A. Of Dr. Moritz? He was friendly, cordial.
- Q. How about Don Sharp?

- 1 A. Professional.
- Q. What was next time that you were out at the site?
- A. The only other occasion I've had to be out
- 4 there -- and this -- this may have been described in your
- 5 documentation of a city vehicle that kind of came through
- 6 the property and left. I know that I had occasion to do
- 7 that once, but based on the date that was provided, I
- 8 couldn't tell you. But I do know that on one other
- 9 occasion, this one I'm describing now, I was asked to just
- 10 go see, probably by Don or Danis, if there was activity at
- 11 the site, if, you know, Dr. Moritz was coming applying
- 12 with the stop work order, and I took a spin through the
- 13 ends of Jerome Drive. I don't believe I entered the
- 14 property on that particular occasion, and didn't see
- anything to warrant stopping so I didn't.
- 16 Q. Do you know the date?
- 17 A. I really don't. It's going to be after the 7th
- 18 of February.
- 19 Q. And on that third time that you were out at the
- 20 site, did you go down Jerome Drive and then return on
- 21 Jerome Drive?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you circled at the end?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you receive permission to go onto Jerome

- 1 Drive from any of the adjoining Jerome Drive property
- 2 owners?
- 3 A. No, I did not.
- 4 Q. How about from Bill Moritz, did you receive
- 5 permission from him to go onto his property?
- 6 A. No, I did not.
- 7 Q. Did you go through the fence areas at all that
- 8 day?
- 9 A. On this occasion, I believe I did not drive onto
- 10 the property.
- 11 Q. Did you have any inspection warrant on that day?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. I'm going to show you what I will mark as the
- 14 next exhibit in order, but I'm not certain of the number.
- Do you have an idea of what the next number is?
- MS. FOSTER: I might. I believe 86 was the last
- one. Oh, I'm sorry, no, because I see an 89 here.
- 18 MR. SIMPSON: You know what --
- 19 MS. FOSTER: It looks like 89 is the last one I
- 20 have from the Means deposition.
- MR. SIMPSON: Yeah, 89 was the last one.
- MS. FOSTER: So this would be 90.
- 23 (Exhibit 90 marked)
- 24 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- Q. I'm going to show you what I will mark as

- 1 Exhibit 90.
- 2 A. Okay. Thank you.
- 3 Q. Which is an e-mail dated June 4, 2008, from Bob
- 4 Manis to Tommy Borobia, B-o-r-o-b-i-a, Frank Castaleneto
- 5 and Patty Brindle. Do you see that?
- 6 A. I do.
- 7 Q. Did you ever get a copy of this particular
- 8 e-mail?
- 9 A. I've never seen this e-mail before today.
- 10 Q. Were you ever instructed to casually go by the
- 11 Moritz property?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Do you know what is meant by the phrase "casually
- qo by the Moritz property"?
- 15 A. I don't.
- 16 Q. Other than those three visits to the Moritz
- 17 property, have you been out there any other times?
- 18 A. I have not.
- 19 Q. What other conversations have you had with Bill
- 20 Moritz other than what you've described?
- 21 A. I don't believe we've had any other
- 22 conversations.
- Q. Have you had any further involvement in
- 24 enforcement activities as to the Moritz property other
- 25 than what you've described already?

- 1 A. I have not.
- Q. Do you know what was the difference in elevation
- 3 between the surface of the eastern end of Jerome Drive and
- 4 · the area of the ephemeral stream located on the
- 5 southwestern -- southeastern, rather, corner of the Moritz
- 6 property when you went out there?
- 7 A. I do not.
- 8 Q. Do you know what it is today?
- 9 A. I don't.
- 10 Q. Does the city of Poway have a practice of sharing
- its stop work orders with the Regional Water Quality
- 12 Control Board?
- 13 A. If it is a stop work notice for a violation that
- is determined to be -- yes, NPD, yes, National Pollutant
- 15 Discharge elimination system related, then, yes, they
- 16 would be sent to the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- 17 Q. Sir, I'm going to show you what's been marked as
- 18 Exhibit 52. Have you ever seen this drawing before?
- 19 A. No, I've not.
- 20 Q. You hadn't any involvement in the plans for
- 21 reconstruction of the stream?
- 22 A. I have not.
- 23 Q. Let me take a little break here.
- 24 MS. FOSTER: Okay.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Okay.

1	MR. SIMPSON: Let's go off the record if we			
2	could.			
3	(Recess)			
4	EXAMINATION			
5	BY MS. FOSTER:			
6	Q. Dave, on either of the occasions where you were			
7	at the property, did you notice any vertical cuts on the			
8	property at that time?			
9	A. No.			
10	MS. FOSTER: Thanks. That's my only question.			
11	MR. SIMPSON: I appreciate your time.			
12	I propose that we have Mr. Rizzuto sign the			
13	transcript under the penalty of perjury, waiving the			
14	requirement of any notary; that he do so within 30 days of			
15	presentation of the transcript to him; the transcript can			
16	be mailed directly to him at the city hall here at this			
17	address with an envelope self-addressed to me; I'll			
18	maintain custody of the original; if for any reason the			
19	original shall remain unsigned beyond the 30-day period, a			
20	certified copy can be used for all purposes that the			
21	original could be used. Okay?			
22	MS. FOSTER: So stipulated.			
23	(The deposition concluded at 10:02 a.m.)			
24	* * *			
25				

1	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	
3	I, DAVID RIZZUTO, do hereby certify under penalty
4	of perjury that I have read the foregoing transcript of my
5	deposition taken on January 22, 2009; that I have made
6	such corrections as appear noted herein in ink, initialed
7	by me; that my testimony as contained herein, as
8	corrected, is true and correct.
9	
10	Dated this day of 2009, at
11	, California.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	DAVID RIZZUTO
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION			
2				
3	I, Diane M. Stockton, a Certified Shorthand			
4	Reporter in and for the State of California, do hereby			
5	certify:			
6				
7	That the foregoing witness was by me duly sworn;			
8	that the deposition was then taken before me at the time			
9	and place herein set forth; that the testimony and			
10	proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later			
11	transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the			
12	foregoing is a true record of the testimony and			
13	proceedings taken at that time.			
14				
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name			
16	this, 2009.			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22	Diane M. Stockton, CSR No. 11085			
23				
24				
25				

- Hearts		
1		3
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL DIVISION	1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
CITY OF POWAY, a municipal	2	MAITNESS: Christanhar Magan
corporation, Case No. 37-2008-0008842	- 3 - 4	WITNESS: Christopher Means
vs. CU-MC-CTL	5	EXAMINATION PAGE
BILL MORITZ and LORI C. MORITZ, individuals; and	6	By Mr. Simpson 7
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	7	by Wit. Oithpsoil
***************************************	'	
DEFOSITION OF	8	
CHRISTOPHER MEANS	9	
	10	
January 16, 2009 10:00 a.m.	11	
	12	
9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100 San Diego, California	13	
	14	
	15	
Reported by Tamara L. Espino, CSR No. 9494	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24 25	
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000
2		4
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL	1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS
3 For Plaintiff:	2	EXHIBITS MARKED
4 MCDOUGAL, LOVE, ECKIS, SMITH,		52 Artist's rendering of the Moritz 18
BOEHMER & FOLEY 5 LISA A. FOSTER, ESQ.	3	residence
460 North Magnolia, Drawer 1466	4 5	53 Photo taken by Kelly Fisher 34
6 El Cajon, California 92022-1466	6	55 Froto taken by Nelly Field 194
(619) 440-4444 7 Ifoster@mclex.com	7	54 18 photos labeled Poway Fire 2007 37
8	8	EE Cian Mark Nation 017100 00
For Dr. and Mrs. Moritz: 9 THE SIMPSON LAW FIRM	9 10	55 Stop Work Notice, 2/7/08 38
9 THE SIMPSON LAW FIRM 10 DOUGLAS J. SIMPSON, ESQ.	11	56 Stop Work Notice, 2/8/08 40
11 1224 10th Street, Suite 201	12	•
12 Coronado, California 92118 (619) 437-6900	13	57 Conversation Confirmer, March 21, '08 47
dsimpson@simpsonlawfirm.com	14 15	58 Facility Inspection Report 6/9/08 48
14	1	(incomplete copy)
For State Water Resources Control Board: 15 CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGE!	NCY 16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
16 JORGE A. LEON, ESQ.	1 + 1	59 Facility Inspection Report 6/9/08 49
1001 Street, P.O. Box 100	18 19	60 Tentative Cleanup and Abatement Order 51
17 Sacramento, California 95812 (916) 341-5180	20	No. R9-2008-0152
18 jleon@waterboards.ca.gov	21	C4 Disease of sease to the best office 50
19	21	61 Diagram of property with handwritten 58 notations
20 21	22	notations
22	23	62 Department of Fish and Game 79
23		Notification of Lake or Streambed
24 25	24 25	Alteration, 5/14/08
	23	

		5		7		
1				7 1 INDEX TO EXHIBITS - cont.		
			2			
2	EXH	IBIT MARKED	3	EXHIBIT MARKED		
4 5	63	Excerpts from document entitled 83 Water Quality Objectives	4 5 6	79 Enlarged photograph 116		
6 7	64	6/30/08 e-mail to Mr. Means from 88	7 8	80 (number not used)		
8 9		Mr. Sandrock attaching June 30, 2008 e-mail to Dr. Moritz from Mr. Means	9	- : =::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
10 11 12	65	Typewritten letter to Mike, unsigned 90	11 12	82 Enlarged photograph 117		
13	66	7/2/08 e-mail to Dr. Moritz from 91	13 14	83 Enlarged photograph . 119		
14 15		Mr. Means, in response to 7/2/08 e-mail to Mr. Means from Dr. Moritz	15 16	J		
16 17 18	67	Executive Order S-13-07 94	17 18			
19 20	68	Executive Officer Summary Report, 95 Item 8a, November 14, 2007	19 20	86 Enlarged photograph 122		
21 22	69	Tentative Resolution No. R9-2007-0211 95	21 22	87 Enlarged photograph 123		
23 24	70	Executive Officer Summary Report, 96	23	88 Enlarged photograph 123		
25		Item 10, November 14, 2007	25	89 Enlarged photograph 124		
ŀ		6		8		
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS - cont.	1			
2 3 4	EXH	IBIT MARKED	3	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
5	71	October 25, 2007 letter re: 96 Authorization to use Conditional	4 5 6	having been first duly sworn, testifies as follows:		
7 8		Waiver for Discharges of Emergency/Disaster Related Wastes	7 8			
9 10	72	16 Jun 08 letter to Mr. Means from 102	9 10	Q Sir, will you tell us your name, please, and spell your last name for the record.		
11 12		Dr. Moritz	11	A My name is Christopher Means, and my last		
13	73	Excerpt from state document re: 102	12 13	•		
14 15		Selection of Appropriate Enforcement Action	14	(Off the record)		
16		ACION	15	.		
17 18	74	Enlarged photograph 108	16 17			
19	75	Enlarged photograph 112	18 19			
20 21	76	Enlarged photograph 113	20 21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
22	77	Enlarged photograph 115	22 23	as if you were testifying before a judge and jury. Do		
24 25	78	Enlarged photograph 115	24 25	A Yes.		
L						

9

- contains everything that's been said here today on the 1 2 record today, and you'll have the opportunity to review
- 3 that and then to make changes to your answers. However,
- 4 if you make changes of a substantive answer, a no to a
- 5 yes, a yes to a no, for example, we could comment on
- 6 that and that could affect your credibility. Do you 7
 - understand?
 - Α

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- 9 Q Any reason we can't have your best testimony 10 today?
 - Α No.
 - From time to time I might ask you a question Q that you feel that you don't know the complete answer, but I'm entitled to such information as you have available that addresses the question. Okay?
 - Α Yes.
 - This comes up sometimes in a situation where I ask for a specific day or date, and you don't know the specific day or date but you might know the week, the month or the season. I'm entitled to get the
- 21 information that you have available. Okay?
- 22 Α
- 23 This also sometimes comes up in the situation Q 24 where I ask for sizes of things or areas. And I'm 25 entitled to such information as you have, but I don't

11

- Q Where are you employed?
- I'm employed with the California Regional
- Water Quality Control Board, San Diego region.
- Q And that frequently goes by the acronym RWQCB?
- 6 Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

18

20

24

- Q How long have you been there?
- 8 I started my employment June 2000. So almost 9 coming up on nine years.
 - What is your current position?
- 11 Α I'm an environmental scientist with the 12 central watershed protection unit.
 - How long have you held that position?
- 14 Since -- I've held the position of 15 environmental scientist since I was hired here at the 16 state board, and I've been with the central watershed 17 protection unit for two years.
 - Q Before you were with the central watershed protection unit, what was your position within the RWQCB?
 - A I originally was hired as an environmental scientist in the storm water unit. I performed my duties there until we reorganized, and then I was an environmental scientist with the northern watershed protection unit. Again, we reorganized, I became an

10

want you to speculate or guess.

I often explain the difference between speculations -- speculating and guessing by example. As an example, you can estimate the size of the table in front of you here because you have some reason to perceive its size, some personal knowledge. But as to the size of the table that's in my office that you've never seen, you have no information and that would be pure speculation. Do you understand the difference between estimates and speculation on the other hand?

- Α Yes.
- As you've been doing, we need to have oral responses, those that we can hear rather than head nods, gestures, that kind of thing. Okay?
 - A Okay.
- From time to time counsel might object to a question that I ask, but you're required to give an answer if you understand the question. Okay?
- If you don't understand a question, please let me know and I'll try my best to rephrase it in a way that makes some sense or --
- Okay. Α
- 24 Sir, are you currently employed? Q
 - Α Yes.

12

- environmental scientist with the southern watershed
- 2 protection unit. And then a couple years ago, when I
- 3 accepted the job of transportation liaison to SANDAG and
 - Caltrans, I joined the central watershed protection
 - unit.
 - Q Describe for me, if you would, your job responsibilities working for the RWQCB currently.
- 8 A Currently I am working on all aspects of 9 environmental permitting for large transportation
- 10 projects included in the SANDAG and Caltrans early
- 11 action plan. A portion of my -- I'm contracted with
- 12 SANDAG and Caltrans to expedite environmental permitting
- 13 for a number of large transportation projects that are
- 14 being funded by the TransNet II tax. Additionally, when
- 15 there isn't contract work for me, I perform whatever
- 16 watershed protection duties are necessary as my
- 17 supervisor sees fit.
 - Who is your supervisor?
- 19 My supervisor is Chiara Clemente.
 - O And Chiara is C-h-i-a-r-a?
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 Q And is Clemente C-I-e-m-e --
- 23 M-e-n-t-e. Sorry.
 - MR. SIMPSON: You got both of us?
- 25 THE REPORTER: (Nods head.)

25

enforce Section 401 of the clean water act.

13 15 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 1 How about orders of the regional board? 1 O 2 2 BY MR. SIMPSON: Α 3 Q What is her job title, if you know? 3 How about provisions of the basin plan for 4 4 the San Diego region? Senior environmental scientist. 5 5 And to whom does she report, if you know? A Yes. 6 6 She would report to the branch manager of the Is it your understanding that as an O 7 7 watershed protection branch, which is David Barker. enforcement officer for the Regional Water Quality 8 8 Q And who is his supervisor, if you know? Control Board here in San Diego that the board itself is 9 9 He would report to the assistant executive required to conform its conduct and orders to the 10 10 officer, which is Michael McCann. provision of the California water code? 11 M, small c, capital C-a-n-n? 11 MR. LEON: The question is somewhat 12 12 Α Yes. ambiguous. I'm not trying to interrupt or object, but 13 13 Q And to whom does he report? just to try to get clarity as to who it is that you're 14 He would report to the executive officer of 14 asking the question of, whether he's the enforcement 15 the regional board, John Robertus. 15 officer or something else is an enforcement officer and 16 16 Q And to whom does John Robertus report? what that person's duty is, as you said. 17 17 I imagine he would report to the executive BY MR. SIMPSON: 18 18 director of the state water resources control board. Q Is it your personal understanding as an 19 19 MR. LEON: He actually reports to the board enforcement officer for RWQCB San Diego region that the 20 20 itself. regional board is required to follow provisions of the 21 21 THE WITNESS: To the board. water code? 22 22 BY MR. SIMPSON: Yes. 23 23 Q is it your understanding that he reports to When did you first become aware of anything 24 24 the Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San having to do with my clients, Bill and Lori Moritz? 25 Diego region? 25 A In the month of May 2008, I was forwarded a 16 14 1 1 complaint from the city of Poway. My supervisor, Chiara 2 Q As its executive officer? 2 Clemente, forwarded me a complaint regarding your client 3 3 Α Yes. and asked that I look into it. 4 4 The typical, if there is such a thing, Q From whom did the complaint come, if you 5 5 cleanup and abatement order that's issued under water know? 6 code section 13304, are they -- describe if you would 6 A I believe it was Danis Bechter, staff person 7 7 the life of a typical cleanup and abatement order. with the city of Poway. 8 The life of -- could you be more --8 Q And that's D-a-n-i-s, for Danis, and Bechter Α 9 Q Sure. 9 is B-e-c-h-t-e-r. 10 10 Α -- clear? A I believe so. 11 Is part of your job duty to enforce water 11 Was the complaint in writing? code provisions? 12 12 It was by e-mail. 13 13 And we're talking not about a complaint such Α Yes. 14 Q What else do you do as part of your job 14 as a legal document that's filed in court, but a 15 duties? 15 complaint as far as a concern about water code 16 16 violation? A As I described before, I currently am aiding 17 17 SANDAG and Caltrans in environmental permitting review Α 18 18 of large transportation projects. Q What did he say to you? 19 19 Q Bad question from me. The e-mail said that Dr. Moritz had been 20 What other pieces of legislation or 20 impacting an ephemeral stream running through his 21 regulations or orders do you enforce? 21 property without proper grading permits. 22 22 A We enforce the Porter-Cologne Water Quality Q Anything else? 23 23 Control Act, the water code, and in regards to the A There was contained in the PDF that was 24 24 issuance of 401, water quality certifications, we provided. I believe, some enforcement -- well, some stop

25

work orders from the city of Poway, a conversation memo,